COMES NOW David A. Gill, the permanent receiver for the Westmoore entities appointed in the above-captioned case (the "Receiver") and hereby provides notice pursuant to the Court's *Order Granting Receiver's Motion for Order Limiting Meeting and Notice Requirements in Local Rules 7-3 and 66-7, Establishing Procedures Re: the Sale of Real and Personal Property and Approval of Settlements (the "Procedural Order") that the Receiver has reached a settlement and compromise*

Defendants.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4 5

of any and all claims between the Receiver and one of Westmoore's former investors (the "Settling Party").1

Based upon the investigation by the Receiver and his attorneys and agents, the Receiver believes that, in 2007, the Settling Party invested \$1 million with Westmoore in the form of a loan evidenced by, among other things, a promissory note secured by certain real property in San Diego, California. After one extension of the maturity date, the loan matured and came due in mid-2008. Westmoore did not pay the Settling Party when the loan came due, though it made a large partial payment in late 2008. In early 2009, the real property in San Diego was facing foreclosure and it appeared likely that the Settling Party's security interest would be wiped out. In or about April 2009, allegedly in exchange for a forbearance, Westmoore entered into a Pledge and Security Agreement, purporting to pledge to the Settling Party one million shares of common stock in China Tel Group, Inc. ("China Tel"). Later that year, an aggregate of 2,380,952 shares of China Tel stock (some of which apparently could not be immediately traded on the open market) were transferred to the Settling Party in full satisfaction of Westmoore's obligation to the Settling Party under the 2007 promissory note.

The Receiver filed a complaint against the Settling Party, and more recently filed a motion for summary judgment seeking entry of a judgment against the Settling Party in the amount of approximately \$1 million, plus interest, representing the value of the shares transferred to the Settling Party during 2009. Alternatively, the Receiver requested that the Court grant partial summary judgment against the Settling Party for \$461,657, representing the "net winnings" the Settling Party

¹ The settlement agreement provides that, to the extent reasonably practicable, the agreement and its provisions are confidential. Therefore, for purposes of this publicly filed notice, the former investor is referred to only as the Settling Party.

12

13

14

15 16 17

18 19 20

21 22

24

23

The Settling Party also has filed a motion for summary judgment, received. requesting that the Court enter judgment in favor of the Settling Party and determining that the Receiver is not entitled to recover anything on account of his claims. Among other things, the Settling Party alleges that it acted at all times in good faith, and that the value of the China Tel shares it received was not \$1 million as alleged by the Receiver. A hearing on these cross-motions for summary judgment is currently on the Court's calendar for September 15, 2014, though the parties expect the hearing to be continued or taken off calendar due to this settlement.

In July 2014, the Receiver and his counsel attended a mediation with a former United States District Court judge. Although the matter did not settle at that time, the parties continued their settlement discussions.

Subject to Court approval to be obtained in accordance with the procedures established by this Court, the Receiver has entered into a settlement agreement with the Settling Party. The general terms of the settlement are that the Settling Party will pay \$500,000.00 to the Receiver and the Settling Party will release and any all claims it has against the Receivership Estate. In arriving at this settlement, the Receiver took into account a variety of factors typically considered by equity receivers and bankruptcy trustees, including but not limited to the additional legal fees and costs that would be incurred to litigate the matter, the complexity of the litigation involved, the probability of success on the merits, and the difficulties that would be encountered in collection. Under the circumstances, in his business judgment, the Receiver believes that the proposed settlement is a fair and reasonable settlement of his claims against the Settling Party.

In accordance with the terms of the Court-approved retention agreement of special litigation counsel Castillo Snyder P.C., from the payment received at closing of the settlement, the Receiver will pay 30% of the settlement amount in fees to

Castillo Snyder for their work on this matter, in accordance with the Court-approved contingent fee agreement between the Receiver and said law firm. The Receiver will also reimburse Castillo Snyder and its local counsel actual costs incurred by them in connection with this matter.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Receiver's Motion for Order Limiting Meeting and Notice Requirements in Local Rules 7-3 and 66-7, Establishing Procedures Re: the Sale of Real and Personal Property and Approval of Settlements (the "Procedural Order"), the Receiver will post a copy of this Notice available for download on the Receiver's website: www.westmoorereceivership.com. Service of the notice is deemed complete upon the posting of the notice on the website.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Court's Procedural Order, objections to the Receiver's proposed compromise with the Settling Party must be (a) in writing and (b) filed with the Court and served in accordance with the Court's Local Rules not later than fourteen (14) days from the date on which this notice was filed with the Court or, if later, posted on the Receiver's website.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if an objection is timely filed and served, the Receiver may file a reply and notice the matter for hearing. In that event, a separate notice of the hearing will be filed and served.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no objection is timely filed and served, pursuant to the Procedural Order the Receiver will be authorized to proceed with the proposed compromise without further notice or order of the Court.

Executed on August 18, 2014, at San Antonio, Texas.

24

25

26

27

28

<u>/s/ Sandy Rivas</u> Sandy Rivas